26

27

28

	li de la companya de	
1 2	THE LAW OFFICES OF MARK S. SMIT1 456 W. O'Brien Drive, Suite 102-D	DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM
3	Hagatna, Guam 96910 Telephone: (671) 477-6631/32	APR 19 2005
4	Facsimile: (670) 477-8831	MARY L.M. MORAN
5	Attorney for Defendant,	CLERK OF COURT
6	Ernest Q. Muna	
7	IN THE UNITED ST.	ATES DISTRICT COURT
8		
9	FOR THE TERRITORY OF GUAM	
10	IDUTED CTATES OF A CENTS	E MAGISTRATE
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	GRIMINAL CASE N O.: 05-00007
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs.	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY
14	HAROLD J. CRUZ and	
15	ERNEST Q. MUNA,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY	
20		
21	COMES NOW, Defendant Ernest Q. Muna, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully	
22	requests an order requiring the United States Attorney to provide the discovery listed below by a date	
23	certain established by this Court. This motion is brought pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules	
~ II	11	

CM/Ernest Muna.05-00007 Motion.for.Discovery

Page 1 of 7

of Criminal Procedure, the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution, and the

accompanying memorandum of points and authorities.

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

2728

CM/Ernest Muna.05-00007 Motion.for.Discovery

I. MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

Under Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, a party must file a motion for discovery, otherwise the failure to file such a motion would constitute a waiver under Rule 12(e).

The rules of criminal procedure, along with the **due process clause** of the United States Constitution, requires that a prosecutor must make an effort to obtain discoverable information for the defense that is in the possession, custody, or control of other governmental agencies acting on the prosecutor's behalf. A prosecutor must exercise due diligence to determine the existence of such material and has an additional obligation to learn of favorable information in the possession of others. Kyles v. Whitley, 115 S.Ct. 1769 (1995).

Defendant requests production or disclosure of the following items for purposes of discovery:

1. The Defendant's Statements.

Under F.R.Cr.P.16(a)(1)(A), the defendant is entitled to disclosure of all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements made by the defendant which the government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the government agents; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant (See <u>U.S. v. McElroy</u>, 697 F. 2d 459(2nd Cir. 1982)); and any other statements by the defendant that are discoverable under F.R.Cr.P.16(a)(1)(A).

The Government must reveal all the defendant's statements, whether oral or written regardless of whether the Government intends to introduce those statements.

CM/Ernest Muna.05-00007 Motion.for.Discovery

2. Arrest, Reports, Notes, and Dispatch Tapes.

The defendant also specifically requests that all arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning, if such reports have not already been produced in their entirety, be turned over to him. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, record, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. This is all discoverable under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also, U.S. v. Johnson, 525 F. 2d 999 (2d Cir. 1975); U.S. v. Lewis, 511 F. 2d 798 (D.C. Cir. 1975); U.S. v. Pilnick, 267 F. Supp. 791 (S.D.N.Y. 1967); Loux v. U.S., 389 F. 2d 911 (9th Cir. 1968). Arrest reports, investigator's notes, memos from arresting officers, dispatch tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution reports pertaining to the defendant are available under F.R.Cr.P.16(a)(1)(B) and (C), F.R.Cr.P.26.2 and 12(I)

3. Reports of Scientific Tests or Examinations.

Pursuant to F.R.Cr.P.16(a)(1)(D), defendant requests the reports of all tests and examinations conducted upon the evidence in this case, including but not limited to any fingerprint analysis, that is within the possession, custody, or control of the government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the attorney for the government, and which are material to the preparation of the defense or which are intended for use by the government as evidence in chief at trial.

4. <u>Brady Material</u>.

The defendant requests all documents, statements, agents' reports, and tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the credibility of the government's case. Impeachment as well as exculpatory evidence falls within Brady's definition of evidence favorable to the accused. <u>U.S. v. Bagley</u>, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); <u>U.S. v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97 (1976). This request specifically includes any information about out-of-court identifications of defendant by percipient witnesses to this offense that may cast doubt on their reliability.

5. Evidence Seized.

Evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless or with warrant is discoverable under F.R.Cr.P.16(a)(1)(C).

6. Request for Preservation of Evidence.

The defendant specifically requests that all dispatch tapes, or any other physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise put out of the possession, custody, or care of the government and which relate to the arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this case be preserved. This request includes, but is not limited to, any samples used to run any scientific tests and any evidence seized from any third party. It is requested that the government question all the agencies and individuals involved in the prosecution and investigation of this case to determine if such evidence exists, and if it does exist, to inform those parties to preserve any such evidence.

1

2

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

CM/Ernest Muna.05-00007 Motion.for.Discovery

7. <u>Tangible Objects.</u>

The defendant requests, under F.R.Cr.P.16(a)(2)(C), the opportunity to inspect and copy as well as test, if necessary, all physical evidence, other documents and tangible objects, including photographs of buildings or places or copies of portions thereof which are material to the defense or intended for use in the government's case in chief, or were obtained from or belong to the defendant.

8. Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie.

The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant, or has a motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987); <u>U.S. v. Strifler</u>, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988).

9. <u>Impeachment Evidence</u>.

The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective government witness has engaged in any criminal act, whether or not resulting in a conviction, and whether any witness has made a statement favorable to the defendant. Such evidence is discoverable under <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, see also <u>U.S. v. Strifler</u>, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988)(witness prior record); <u>Thomas v. U.S.</u>, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965)(evidence that detracts from a witness' credibility).

10. Evidence of Criminal Investigation of any Government Witness.

The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state, or local authorities for any criminal conduct. <u>U.S. v. Chitty</u>, 760 F.2d 425 (2nd Cir. 1985).

Motion for Discovery <u>United States of America v. Ernest Q. Muna</u>

11. Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate, or Truth Telling.

The defense requests that any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric report or evaluation, tending to show that any prospective witness' ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the truth is impaired; and any evidence that a witness had ever used narcotics or other controlled substance, or has ever been an alcoholic. <u>U.S. v. Strifler</u>, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988); <u>Chavis v. North Carolina</u>, 637 F.2d 213, 224 (4th Cir. 1980).

12. <u>Name of Witness(es) Favorable to the Defendant.</u>

The defendant requests the name of any witness who made an arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant. <u>Jackson v. Weinwright</u>, 390 F.2d 288 (5th Cir. 1968); <u>Chavis v. North Carolina</u>, 637 F.2d 213, 233 (4th Cir. 1980); <u>Jones v. Jago</u>, 575 F.2d 1164, 1168 (6th Cir. 1978); <u>Hudson v. Blackburn</u>, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979).

13. <u>Statements Relevant to the Defense</u>.

The defendant requests disclosure of any statement that may be relevant to any possible defense or contention that he might assert. <u>U.S. v. Bailleaux</u>, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982). This includes in particular, any statements made by percipient witnesses about identifications of the perpetrators of this offense in general and about the Defendant Ernest Q. Muna in particular.

14. Jencks Act Material.

The defense requests all material to which defendant is entitled pursuant to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. §3500. Defendant Ernest Q. Muna specifically requests pretrial production of these statements so that the court may avoid unnecessary recesses and delays for defense counsel to properly use any Jencks statements and prepare for cross-examination.

Motion for Discovery
United States of America v. Ernest Q. Muna

Criminal Case No. 05-00007 Page 7 of 7

15. Giglio Information.

Pursuant to <u>Giglio v. U.S.</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), the defendant requests that all statements and/or promises, express or implied, made to any government witnesses, in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information which could arguably use for the impeachment of any government witnesses.

II. CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing points and authorities, Defendant requests that the Court grant the motion for discovery and issue an order requiring production of discoverable materials within the possession of the Government, not already disclosed to the Defendant.

Dated this // day of April, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

MARK S. SMITH, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant,

Ernest Q. Muna